



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/988,671	11/20/2001	Max Amon	017750-582	9038	
21839	7590 07/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			GABOR,	GABOR, OTILIA	
ALEXANDR	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/988,671	AMON ET AL.				
, at loosy monon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Otilia Gabor	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a simal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any larned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. $igtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-18 and 28-35</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-27 and 36-62</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the newly added limitations to the claims would render independent claims 19, 23,26 allowable over the prior art cited based on the persuasive arguments presented by the Applicant, however, it would present enablement problems for dependent claims 40, 49 and 58 which ask for the limitation that the narrowband coating be on a backside of the primary mirror which is contrary to the limitation that the narrowband filter be at an interface of the first and second portion of the primary mirror, limitation contained in the independent claims from which the dependent claims depend. Thus, the amendment to the claims are not entered until all the claims are clarified.

Continuation of 10. Other: the Third Information Disclosure Statement is not considered as presented since it is not written according to accepted forms (PTO-1449), i.e., the references are not listed on a separate sheet, and because the references contained therein were already presented and considered in an earlier Office Action.

DAVID PORTA

SUPERVISORY FATERIT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800